

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/048,242	10/048,242 09/13/2002		James Web Kassebaum	X-11121	2645	
25885	7590	12/30/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ELI LILLY	AND C	OMPANY	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL			
PATENT DI	VISION					
P.O. BOX 6288			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
INDIANADOLIS IN 46206 6288				1616		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/048,242	KASSEBAUM ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alton N. Pryor	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIC SET TO EVDIDE 2 M	AONTH(S) EDOM	•
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a bly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	September 2003.		
,— .	s action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under a second condition.			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-15 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are withdrawn			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ul>	its have been received. Its have been received in Aprity documents have been	Application No	Stage
<ul> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fire 37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language pressure in the second s</li></ul>	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. rst sentence of the specific	§ 119(e) (to a provisiona action or in an Application	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121 since	•
Attachment(s)			•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper Non nformal Patent Application (PTo .	
	•		

Application/Control Number: 10/048,242

Art Unit: 1616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watson et al (US 6235754; 5/22/01) in view of Bosserelle (US 4740432; 4/26/88), and JP 03251520; 11/11/91.

Watson teaches a shampoo for controlling lice comprising spinosad (spinosyn A and D). Watson's composition is applied to hair to rid hair of lice (ruminant or companion animals). See abstract, column 1 lines 10-34, column 4 lines 54-67, column 5 line 38-column 6 line 2.. Watson does not teach the shampoo comprising oleic acid and isopropyl myristate. Watson does not teach the shampoo comprising the instant amount (0.1-30 %) of spinosad. However, Bosserelle teaches a shampoo composition comprising 8 to 14 % oleic acid. See abstract, column 2 line 30 – column 3 line 27, claim 11. JP '520 teaches a shampoo composition comprising 0.1 to 20% isopropyl myristate. See abstract. The oleic acid and isopropyl myristate are compounds derived from vegetable. These compounds are more effective than fatty acid and ester thereof

derived from animal fat. They are more effective in that they are more compatible with epidermal of the head. See column 1 – column 2 line 44. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have made a single composition comprising spinosad, oleic acid and isopropyl myristate. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in an effort to make a composition that would have a good compatibility with the epidermis. With respect to the amounts of ingredients one having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to determine the optimum amounts of ingredients. One would have been motivated to do this in order to make the most effective shampoo.

#### Election Requirement

Election is final after view of Applicant's arguments. The election of a composition comprising spinosyn A, isopropyl myristate, and oleic acid is not allowable. See art rejection above.

### Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 703 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,242

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-

1235.

PRIMARYEXA

Alton Pryor, AU 1616

12/26/03

Page 4